BEFORE THE APPEALS BOARD FOR THE KANSAS DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION

JOHN T. BEHRMANN, JR. Claimant)	
)	
VS.)	
RED LINE, INC.)	
Respondent)	Docket No. 1,047,703
AND)	
AND)	
AMERICAN INTERCTATE INC. OO)	
AMERICAN INTERSTATE INS. CO.)	
Insurance Carrier)	

ORDER

Respondent and insurance carrier (respondent) requests review of Administrative Law Judge Thomas Klein's Order dated September 27, 2012. William L. Phalen, of Pittsburg, Kansas, appeared for claimant. Terry J. Torline, of Wichita, Kansas, appeared for respondent.

The record on appeal is the same as that considered by Judge Klein and consists of the motion hearing transcript dated September 25, 2012, with exhibits, and all pleadings contained in the administrative file.

ISSUES

A motion hearing was conducted on September 25, 2012. Claimant requested suspension of terminal dates. Judge Klein granted claimant's request. While it does not appear from the record that respondent ever filed a formal motion to have claimant's right to payment of compensation suspended, Judge Klein also suspended claimant's right to the payment of compensation, apparently for refusing to submit to the employer's medical examination, pursuant to K.S.A. 44-518. No party is arguing that Judge Klein erred by suspending claimant's right to payment of compensation.

¹ Respondent's evaluation of the claimant with Paul Stein, M.D., was set to occur on October 4, 2012 (before the date of Judge Klein's Order), but it was apparent that claimant was not going to attend the evaluation. Motion Hearing Trans. (Sept. 25, 2012), Resp. Exs. B & C.

Respondent requests review of Judge Klein's Order dated September 27, 2012. Respondent asserts Judge Klein exceeded his jurisdiction and authority in granting claimant's request for suspension of terminal dates. Respondent argues that Judge Klein may only suspend terminal dates based on statutory authority. Claimant asserts that the Board lacks jurisdiction to hear an interlocutory appeal, but if it has jurisdiction, Judge Klein's order should be affirmed.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

After reviewing the evidentiary record compiled to date and considering the parties' arguments, the Board finds:

A regular hearing was held March 13, 2012. Judge Klein set the claimant's terminal date for May 14 and respondent's terminal date for June 13, 2012. Judge Klein later approved an agreed order extending claimant's terminal date to June 18 and respondent's terminal date to July 18, 2012.

On June 29, 2012, the Division of Workers Compensation received claimant's "Motion to Suspend Terminal Dates." The motion stated that claimant's counsel had lost contact with the claimant and claimant would be prejudiced unless claimant's counsel was able to contact him and obtain additional information. The Division also received a July 23, 2012, letter from claimant's attorney to respondent's counsel, along with a proposed motion, suggesting terminal dates for both parties would be October 25, 2012. The parties were not able to come to an agreement regarding terminal dates. As a result, a motion hearing regarding suspension of terminal dates was set to occur on September 25, 2012.

Based on statements of counsel at the motion hearing, claimant absconded after apparently violating probation. Claimant's counsel lost contact with his client. Claimant's counsel wanted terminal dates suspended so his client would have "an opportunity to complete his workers compensation claim." Respondent objected to claimant's request.

Judge Klein's Order concerns terminal dates. Judge Klein's Order is interlocutory. Interlocutory orders are generally not subject to interlocutory appeal.³

Judge Klein's Order is not a preliminary hearing order under K.S.A. 44-534a. The judge's Order does not award claimant medical treatment, temporary disability compensation or any other form of preliminary benefits. Judge Klein made no findings concerning compensability.

² Motion Hearing Trans. (Sep. 25, 2012) at 5.

 $^{^3}$ See *Damron v. State*, Nos. 1,028,933, 1,033,846, 1,053,691 & 1,039,526, 2012 WL 4763646 (Kan. WCAB Sep. 5, 2012).

K.S.A. 44-523 allows terminal dates to be extended on application for good cause shown. While respondent's argument that claimant being on the "run from law enforcement officials" would not justify an extension of his terminal date is certainly understandable, Judge Klein has jurisdiction to hear and decide the issue, rightly or wrongly.⁵

Judge Klein's Order is not a final order or award. K.S.A. 2011 Supp. 44-551(i)(1) limits the Board's jurisdiction to review "final orders, awards, modifications of awards, or preliminary awards under K.S.A. 44-534a and amendments thereto made by an administrative law judge " The interlocutory order here is not presently reviewable by the Board.

When the record reveals a lack of jurisdiction, the Board's authority extends no further than to dismiss the action. The Board is without jurisdiction to review Judge Klein's Order dated September 27, 2012, until the time of final award.

Conclusion

WHEREFORE, it is the finding, decision and order of the Board that respondent's appeal from the Order of Administrative Law Judge Thomas Klein dated September 27, 2012, is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated thisd	day of November, 2012.	
	BOARD MEMBER	
	BOARD MEMBER	
	DOARD MEMBER	
	BOARD MEMBER	

⁴ Respondent's Brief at 4 (filed Oct. 19, 2012).

⁵ See *Allen v. Craig*, 1 Kan. App. 2d 301, 303-04, 564 P.2d 552, *rev. denied* 221 Kan. 757 (1977).

c: William L. Phalen, Attorney for Claimant wlp@wlphalen.com

Terry J. Torline, Attorney for Respondent and its Insurance Carrier tjtorline@martinpringle.com dltweedy@martinpringle.com

Thomas Klein, Administrative Law Judge